1 2	THOMAS C. HORNE Attorney General (Firm State Bar No. 14000)		
3 4	MONTGOMERY LEE Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 005658 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES		
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 Tel: (602) 542-7980 Fax: (602) 364-3202		
7 8	Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy		
9	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	In the Matter of	Board Case No. 12-0028-PHR	ı
11 12	CRYSTAL CARNEY,	CONSENT AGREEMENT,	
13 14	Holder of License No. 7850 as a Pharmacy Technician	FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND CONTINUING EDUCATION	
15	in the State of Arizona; MICHAEL MANTSCH,		
16	(Pharmacist-in-Charge)		
17	Holder of License No. 12363		-
18	as a Pharmacist in the State of Arizona		
19 20	and		
21	OMNICARE OF CHANDLER		
22	Holder of Pharmacy Permit No. 3161		
23	in the State of Arizona		
24	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with th		2
25		1 114 Called Anisona State Rours	- !

public interest, statutory requirements and the responsibilities of the Arizona State Board

of Pharmacy ("Board") under A.R.S. § 32-1901, et. seq., Michael Mantsch, holder of

Pharmacist License No. 12363 in the State of Arizona ("Respondent") and Omnicare of Chandler, holder of Pharmacy Permit No. 3161 in the State of Arizona ("Respondent"), and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

- 1. Respondent Michael Mantsch and Respondent Omnicare of Chandler enter into this Consent Agreement as Respondents separate and apart from Pharmacy Technician Crystal Carney. Resolution of Board Case 12-0028-PHR through this Consent Agreement is between the Board and Respondent Mantsch and Respondent Omnicare of Chandler. This agreement does not resolve the Board's action against Crystal Carney who is not referred to as a Respondent in this Consent Agreement. The Board action against Ms. Carney is scheduled for hearing on January 25, 2012 at 9:00 A. M. and that hearing will proceed as scheduled irrespective of the resolution of the Board action in this case through this Consent Agreement.
- 2. Respondents have read and understand this Consent Agreement and had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or have waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.
- 3. Respondents understand that they have a right to a public administrative hearing concerning the above-captioned matter, at which hearing they could present evidence and cross examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondents knowingly and voluntarily relinquish all right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 4. Respondents affirmatively agree that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.

- Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board.
- 6. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement deals with Board Case No. 12-0028-PHR involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondents. The investigation into these allegations against Respondents shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondents understand that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 8. Respondents also understand that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 9. Respondents acknowledge and agree that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, they may not revoke their acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.
- 10. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondents shall assert no claim that the

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board.

- 7. On October 21, 2011, Ms. Carney incorrectly filled a prescription for morphine 10mg/5cc with morphine 20mg/1cc. When Ms. Carney scanned the barcode on the prescription she filled and compared it to the barcode on the product, a mismatch occurred. Ms. Carney did not obtain pharmacist verification for the mismatch. Ms. Carney was able to verify the prescription by typing the NDC code from the misfilled prescription. Ms. Carney believed that management was aware that she could perform this task, while Respondents disagree. The product was sent out without being checked by a pharmacist. After discovering her error, Ms. Carney reported the incident to her supervisor at approximately 4:00 p.m. on October 24, 2011. This resulted in the patient receiving a medication that was greater than the strength prescribed.
- Technicians no longer verify any completed prescriptions. Since the events 8. described in paragraph 7, Omnicare has taken corrective action that includes the following: All strengths of liquid morphine have been physically separated from one another on the shelf; An audit confirmed that all other morphine prescriptions were appropriately filled; All pharmacists and technicians have been trained in Policy 088 "Final Verification Policy" (Revised 7/16/10) attachment A; All pharmacists and technicians have acknowledged in writing that they understand and will comply with the Final Verification Policy; The computer operating system was initially modified to disable controlled substances from the tech scan function, and it was subsequently modified to disable all medications and products - controlled and non-controlled; Pharmacists have been informed of their obligation to perform verifications on all medications and products; A complete list of phone contacts for emergency notification has been posted and provided to all pharmacists and technicians; Training and Medication Safety Alert notices were provided for MS Concentrate; Best practices were updated and implemented for all controlled substances, including chain of custody; and, Implemented daily review of the Verification Discrepancy Report.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 9. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondents pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 10. The Board may discipline the holder of a pharmacy permit who has engaged in unprofessional conduct. A.R.S. § 32-1927.02(A).
- 11. The Board may discipline a pharmacist who has engaged in unprofessional conduct. A.R.S. § 32-1927(A).
- 12. The conduct and circumstances described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(A)(6) and (B)(10) (Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to marijuana, prescription-only drugs, narcotics, dangerous drugs, controlled substances or precursor chemicals).
- 13. The conduct and circumstances described above violated A.A.C. R4-23-1104(C) (A pharmacy technician or pharmacy technician trainee shall not perform a function reserved for a pharmacist.).

<u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Board issues the following Order:

- 1. Respondent Mantsch shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,000.00 within 90 days of the effective date of this Consent Agreement;
- 2. Respondent Mantsch shall successfully complete <u>and</u> provide proof of successful completion to the Board of six (6) contact hours (0.6 C.E.U.) of American Council on Pharmaceutical Education course(s) on the topic of error prevention. The required course(s) must be completed within 90 days of the effective date of this Order, must be pre-approved by Board staff, and shall be in addition to the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-1936 and A.A.C. R4-23-204. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with complying with this Consent Agreement;

1	COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED this, 2013 to:
2	Paul J. Giancola
3	Snell and Wilmer, L.L.P. One Arizona Center
4	400 E. Van Buren St. Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
5	Montgomery Lee
6	Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007
7	Attorney for the State of Arizona
8	Christopher Munns Assistant Attorney General
9	1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/SGO Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Attorney for the Board
11	Ву:
12	D),
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Comment to
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	